

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/788,047	02/16/2001	Seiji Nishioka	60586-300501 (YOSHP005)	4167
75	90 07/31/2002			
PERKINS COIE LLP			EXAMINER	
101 JEFFERSON DRIVE MENLO PARK, CA 94025-1114			SERGENT, RABON A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	8
		DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/788,047

Nishioka et al.

Examiner

Rabon Sergent

Art Unit 1711



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ci after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days 	eation.
communication.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). a mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 4, 20</u>	
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 1 and 5-7	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-7</u>	
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) ★ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p a) ★ All b) ■ Some* c) ■ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6	20) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/788,047

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants have not provided support for the treatment of an ozone-containing gas.

2. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear how the method of purifying crude acetic anhydride relates to the treatment of an ozone-containing gas.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/788,047 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over EP 1020484.

The reference discloses the production of polyoxytetramethylene glycol wherein acetic anhydride having a preferred ketene dimer content of 5 ppm or less is utilized. See examples. It is further noted that the exemplified acetic anhydride is subjected to a heat treatment and that the product remained colorless. Therefore, the exemplified acetic anhydride is considered to inherently possess the properties of the acetic anhydride of claim 7. The position is taken that disclosed ketene dimer content of 5 ppm or less meets and encompasses the claimed 2 ppm or less language of the claims.

5. Alternatively, since reactants of increased purity are presumed to yield improved products, the position is taken that it would have been obvious to produce polyoxytetramethylene using acetic anhydride having ketene dimer contents at the low end of the preferred 5 ppm or less range (i.e., 2 ppm or less). Applicants have failed to demonstrate unexpected results attributable to the

Application/Control Number: 09/788,047 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

claimed range. Furthermore, based on the teachings of the reference, one would reasonably expect that discoloration decreases as ketene dimer content decreases.

- 6. Since this reference was brought to the examiner's attention as a result of the citing of JP 2000-204152 within the Information Disclosure Statement of September 6, 2001, the provisions of MPEP 706.07(a) are considered to apply.
- 7. Lastly, with respect to claim 6, the method of purification of the acetic anhydride is not considered to be relevant with respect to the production of the glycol where equivalent acetic anhydride, purified by other means, is disclosed within the art.
- 8. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Application/Control Number: 09/788,047

Art Unit: 1711

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number 308-2982.

R. Sergent:evh

5/16/02

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER